IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF SOUTH CAROLINA SPARTANBURG DIVISION

Dr. Chipley Bennett,) C.A. No.: <u>7:23-cv-02574-JD-KFM</u>
Plaintiff,	
v.) JOINT DISCOVERY PLAN
)
Spartanburg Community College)
Defendant.)
)

The parties respectfully submit the following information required by the Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 26(f) and this Court's Scheduling Order. Counsel for the parties participated in a discovery conference on December 14, 2023. This is the discovery plan resulting from that meeting:

DISCOVERY PLAN

(A) What changes should be made in the timing, form, or requirement for disclosures under Rule 26(a), including a statement of when disclosures under Rule 26(a)(1) were made or will be made?

RESPONSE: The parties waive Rule 26(a)(1) disclosures.

(B) The subjects on which discovery made be needed, when discovery should be completed, and whether discovery should be conducted in phases or limited to or focused on particular issues.

RESPONSE: Discovery will be conducted on the matters raised in the pleadings. The parties anticipate conducting discovery within the time frame set forth in the Scheduling Order. The parties do not foresee a need for special limitations or phases for discovery.

(C) Any issues about disclosure or discovery of electronically stored information,

including the form or forms in which it should be produced.

RESPONSE: The parties will default to production in PDF format, but either party may

request a native format of a document/file and those requests will be dealt with on a situation-by-

situation basis.

Any issues about claims of privilege or of protection as trial-preparation **(D)**

materials, including—if the parties agree on a procedure to assert these claims after

production— whether to ask the court to include their agreement in an order.

RESPONSE: The parties agree to a claw back of inadvertently produced privilege or work

product materials.

(E) What changes should be made in the limitations on discovery imposed under these

rules or by local rule, and what other limitations should be imposed?

RESPONSE: None.

(F) Any other orders that should be entered by the court under Rule 26(c) or

under Rule 16(b) and (c).

RESPONSE: None at this time.

Plaintiff:

DR. CHIPLEY BENNETT

DUNLAEVY LAW FIRM

BY: s/Jeffrey P. Dunlaevy
Jeffrey P. Dunlaevy (#7575)
37 Villa Road, Suite 440
Greenville, South Carolina 29615
Telephone: (864) 208-9305
jeff@dunlaevylaw.com
Attorney for Plaintiff Dr. Chipley

Bennett

Spartanburg, South Carolina January 3, 2024

Defendant:

SPARTANBURG COMMUNITY COLLEGE

GIBBES BURTON, LLC

Community College

BY: s/Stephanie H. Burton
Stephanie H. Burton (#5009)
308 East Saint John Street
Spartanburg, South Carolina 29302
Telephone: (864) 327-5000
sburton@gibbesburton.com
Attorneys for Defendant Spartanburg